



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR 2 ATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22312-1459:www.usplo.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/522,331	03/09/2000	Tipin Ben Chang	ARAX-011	3278
	7590 05/21/2004			EXA	MINER
	David B Ritchie			NGUYEN, MAIKHANH	
	D'Alessandro & Ritchie P O Box 640640			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			ARTONI	TATER NOMBER	
	San Jose, CA 95164-0640			2176	\sim
				DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004	· 4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X

	*					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/522,331	CHANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Maikhanh Nguyen	2176				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja	nuary 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 7-12 and 17-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-12 and 17-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	D) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment/o)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: Request for Reconsideration filed 01/26/2004 to the original application filed 03/09/2000.
- 2. Claims 7-12 and 17-31 are currently pending in this application. Claims 1-6 and 13-16 have been canceled; Claims 18-31 have been added. Claims 7, 17 and 22 are independent claim.
- 3. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Examiner's Note

4. The following set of rejections regarding claims 7, 17, and 22 are based upon the Examiner's interpretation of "and/or" equal "or".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2176

Claims 7-12 and 17-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Yalcinalp** (U.S. 6,507,857 – filed 03/2000, which is provisional application 60/123,916 – filed 03/1999) in view of **Lipkin** (U.S. 6,721,747 – filed 01/2001, which is provisional application No. 60/176,137 – filed 01/2000).

As to independent claim 7, Yalcinalp teaches a method for a user to interface with a workflow server (fig. 2), including:

- accepting a task form from the user, the task form containing data tags and/or process tags, the data tags identifying persisted and non-persisted data component (receiving a request for an input document...having tags associated with the input document, wherein one of the tags presents an external component, processing tags; col.2, lines 23-48);
- passing the task form to an XML Execution Engine (loading the external component in an style sheet processor; col.2, lines 23-67);
- accessing an XML namespace to determine how to execute the process tags and/or how to interpret the data tags (the external component may be defined...using a Namespace; col.2, lines 23-67);
- executing the process tags (When the style sheet processor processes the tags in the style sheet; col. 3, line 63-col.4, line 25); and
- returning an XML document back to the user, the XML document containing a reference to an XSL file and containing the output of the execution of the process tags; and displaying the XML document using the XSL file (When the style sheet is completely processed by the XSLT processor... display on the client type for the user; col.6, lines 27-43).

Art Unit: 2176

Yalcinalp does not explicitly teach "storing the persisted data components in one or more form data tables and accessing a database if necessary."

Lipkin teaches storing the persisted data components in one or more form data tables (col.12, line 6- col. 13, line 5/col.28, lines 31-38 and col.31, line 65-col.32, line 12) and accessing a database if necessary (Abstract/col.12, lines 6- 64 and col.19, line 66-col.20, line 65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the feature from Lipkin in the system of Yalcinalp because Lipkin's teaching would have provided the capability for efficiently managing and retrieving information over a network.

As to dependent claim 8, Yalcinalp teaches the accepting include accepting a user command from the user via a web browser (Fig. 2).

As to dependent claim 9, Yalcinalp teaches the process tags indicate one or more processes to be executed and the data tags indicate information (col. 3, line 63-col.4, line 25).

As to dependent claim 10, Yalcinalp teaches the data tags and process tags are XML tags found in the XML name space (col. 3, line 63-col.4, line 25).

As to dependent claim 11, Yalcinalp teaches the XSL file defines a stylesheet for displaying the returned XML document in the web browser (col. 1, lines 49-57)

As to dependent claim 12, Yalcinalp teaches the XML namespace contains tags which define data tables, substitute data retrieved from the database, perform workflow functions, and generate the returned XML document according to logic described in the tags (col.5, lines 7-50).

Art Unit: 2176

As to dependent claim 19, Yalcinalp teaches the data tags include a single select type, wherein the single select type defines a set of possible values from which a user may select a single entry (col. 3, line 63-col.4, line 25).

As to dependent claim 20, Yalcinalp teaches the data tags include a single select type, wherein the single select type defines a set of possible values from which a user may select multiple entries (col. 3, line 63-col.4, line 25).

As to dependent claim 21, Yalcinalp teaches the data tags include a multiple column type, wherein the multiple column type defines a set of possible values from a base form data table, wherein a workflow server generates a separate column for each of the possible values from the form data table (col. 3, line 63-col.4, line 25).

As to independent claim 17, it is directed to a program storage device for implementing the method of claim 7, and is similarly rejected under the same rationale.

As to dependent claim 18, Yalcinalp teaches the data tags include user-defined types (col. 3, line 63-col.4, line 25).

As to independent claim 22, it is directed to an apparatus for performing the method of claim 7, and is similarly rejected under the same rationale.

As to dependent claims 23-27 and 28-31, they include the same limitations as in claims 8-12 and 18-21, and are similarly rejected under the same rationale.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2176

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maikhanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-0092. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph H Feild can be reached on (703) 305-9792.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Maikhanh Nguyen May 17, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER